#### 

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

(SEF INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of infilating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC.	HONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	HISTO	RM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  NTC & CO., LLP FBO, HO PARTNERS, LP, SNITOV			]	DEFENDANTS PHILADELPHIA HO PHILADELPHIA RE				LADEL	.PHIA,
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff  (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PL	d Defendant  AINTIFF CASES OF  ON CASES, USE TH  VOLVED.		)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address and Talanhana Number	u i		Attorneys (If Known)					
Gerald J. Willams, Esquir 1515 Market St., Phila., F	e, WILLIAMS CEDAR	, LLC,		UNKNOWN					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT	F DEF		and One Box fo	or Defenda <b>PTF</b>	nt) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizo	en of This State		Incorporated or Prin of Business In Th		<b>□</b> 4	<b>D</b> 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and Pr of Business In A		□ 5	<b>5</b>
·				en or Subject of a  reign Country		Foreign Nation		<b>D</b> 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 82	SPECIAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	11110000	KRUPTCY		STATUTI	<u>L5</u>
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS  ✓ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Other:	- 69 - 71 - 72 - 72 - 75 - 75 - 75	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 423 Withd 28 US  PROPER □ 820 Copyr □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent New II □ 840 Trade: SOCIAL □ 861 HIA ( □ 862 Black □ 863 DIWC □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (42)  FEDERA □ 870 Taxes or De □ 871 IRS— 26 US	TY RIGHTS ights  - Abbreviated Orug Application mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  L TAX SUTTS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	□ 480 Consum □ 485 Telepho Protecti □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti Exchan □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Adminic Act/Rev	n (31 USC) ) apportion t t nd Banking te tion er Influence Organization Credit ne Consun on Act at TV es/Commo ge atutory Acts ure tal Ma n of Inform ion strative Pro- iew or App Decision ationality of	ment g  med and ions  mer  odities/ tions  atters nation  ocedure peal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i.	n One Box Only)	Conditions of Confinement							
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify)	r District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	42 U.S.C. Section	า 1983	re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless div	versity):			
	This is a civil righ	ts action, arising fro		ndants' taking of plai				1	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	DEMAND \$		HECK YES only U <b>RY DEMAND:</b>		n complai No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE	AM	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
10/22/2019	1 James	413							

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

#### NTC & Co., LLP FBO, et al. v. Philadelphia Housing Authority, et al.

#### **PLAINTIFFS**

NTC & Company, LLC FBO 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Hal-Maz Partners, LP 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Snitow & Snitow Profit Sharing Plan 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Twenty Something Sansom, LLC 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Lincoln Trust Company FBO Howard N. Snitow IRA 100% 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

#### **DEFENDANTS**

Philadelphia Housing Authority 12 South 23<sup>rd</sup> Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority 1234 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

v. PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY, et al.	5 5 2	NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Explaintiff shall complete a Case Managem filing the complaint and serve a copy on a side of this form.) In the event that a designation, that defendant shall, with its the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case to which that defendant believes the case	nent Track Desi Ill defendants. ( defendant does s first appearand Management T	gnation Form in all civil cases at See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on not agree with the plaintiff reg- ce, submit to the clerk of court at rack Designation Form specifying	the time of the reverse arding said and serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	G CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under	er 28 U.S.C. § 2	2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting reand Human Services denying plainting	eview of a decis	sion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	( )

(e) Special Management — Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)

(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.

(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

Date Gerald J. Williams, Esquire
Attorney-at-law

215.557.0099 215.557.0673

exposure to asbestos.

NTC & CO., LLP FBO, et al.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

**CIVIL ACTION** 

gwilliams@williamscedar.com

( )

( )

( )

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:19-cv-04941-GJP Document 1 Filed 10/22/19 Page 4 of 40 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	SEE ADDITIONAL SHEET		
Address of Defendant:	SEE ADDITIONAL SHEET		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Philadelphia County		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:			
Case Number:	Judge: Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	d to any of the following questions:		
Is this case related to property included in an eapreviously terminated action in this court?	arlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No		
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminate			
Does this case involve the validity or infringem numbered case pending or within one year prev			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  No			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is / $\square$ is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in		
DATE:	Must sign here  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney L.D. # (ij applicable)		
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):		
I, Gerald J. Williams	Attorney at Law Pro Se Plaintiff  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		

#### NTC & Co., LLP FBO, et al. v. Philadelphia Housing Authority, et al.

#### **PLAINTIFFS**

NTC & Company, LLC FBO 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Hal-Maz Partners, LP 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Snitow & Snitow Profit Sharing Plan 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Twenty Something Sansom, LLC 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Lincoln Trust Company FBO Howard N. Snitow IRA 100% 110 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

#### **DEFENDANTS**

Philadelphia Housing Authority 12 South 23<sup>rd</sup> Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority 1234 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NTC & CO., LLP FBO HOWARD N. : CIVIL ACTION NO.:

SNITOW, IRA, HAL-MAZ PARTNERS, :

LP, SNITOW & SNITOW, PA PROFIT SHARING PLAN, TWENTY

SOMETHING SANSOM, LLC, : HON.

LINCOLN TRUST COMPANY FBO

HOWARD N. SNITOW, IRA,

Plaintiffs,

vs. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY, : CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, and :

PHILADELPHIA REDEVELOPMENT

**AUTHORITY,** 

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT (CIVIL ACTION)**

#### I. NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is a civil rights action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, arising from defendants' taking of plaintiffs' property for public use, without just compensation, in violation of plaintiffs' rights under the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

#### II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

2. Jurisdiction is vested in this Court by virtue of the presence of federal questions under 28 U.S.C. §1331, and the Supreme Court's decision in *Knick v. Township of Scott*; 588 U.S. (2019). At all times material hereto, all defendants acted pursuant to or under color of state law, as those terms are construed under to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

3. Venue is proper in this judicial district, as the properties whose taking gives rise to this Complaint are located therein, and all parties reside or are located therein. All plaintiff entities are organized under Pennsylvania law.

#### III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff NTC & Company, LLC FBO Howard N. Snitow IRA ("NTC IRA") was the owner of property located at 2037 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 and the owner of a 50% interest in property located at 2113-2123 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.
- 5. Plaintiff Hal-Maz Partners, LP ("Hal-Maz") was the owner of property located at 2125 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff Snitow & Snitow Profit Sharing Plan ("Snitow Profit Plan") was the owner of 50% interest in property located at 2113-2123 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (as tenants in common with Plaintiff NTC/IRA) and the owner of a 80% interest in property located at 2039 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (as tenants in common with Plaintiff Twenty Something Sansom, LLC).
- 7. Plaintiff Twenty Something Sansom, LLC ("Twenty Something") was the owner of a 20% interest in property located at 2039 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 as tenants in common with Plaintiff Snitow Profit Plan.
- 8. Plaintiff Lincoln Trust Company FBO Howard N. Snitow IRA 100% ("Lincoln IRA") was the owner of property located at 2103-2107 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.
- 9. Defendant Philadelphia Housing Authority ("PHA") is a municipal agency of the City of Philadelphia organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Housing

Authorities Law of May 28, 1937, P.L. 955, 35 P.S. §1541 *et seq*. as amended and supplemented, to develop, acquire, lease and operate low-rent housing programs and having its corporate office at 12 South 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

- 10. Defendant City of Philadelphia is a first class city in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 11. Defendant Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority ("PRA") is a governmental entity organized pursuant to the law of Pennsylvania, with its principal office at 1234 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. At all times material hereto, PRA acted in conjunction with PHA with respect to the taking of plaintiffs' properties.
- 12. On or about October 20, 2015, the Philadelphia Housing Authority, acting by and through its officials with the authority to do so, instituted a condemnation proceeding in the Court of Common Pleas for Philadelphia county captioned A Condemnation Proceeding In Rem by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of Certain Land, Improvements and Properties Within an Area Bounded Generally by 19th Street to the East, 28th Street to the West, Cecil B. Moore Avenue to the North and College Avenue to the South, for the Purposes of Low-Income Housing Project, Land, Improvements and Properties Within an Area Bounded Generally by 19th Street to the East, 2th Street to the West, Cecil B. Moore Avenue to the North, and College Avenue to the South, for the Purposes of Low-Income Housing Projects and a Mixed-Use Project filed at Docket No. 151001913 and filed a Declaration of Taking that included the properties owned by Plaintiffs NTC IRA, Snitow Profit Plan, Twenty Something and Lincoln IRA.
- 13. On or about May 16, 2016, PHA acting by and through its officials with the authority to do so, instituted another condemnation proceeding captioned A Condemnation Proceeding in Rem by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of Certain Land, Improvements and

Properties Within an Area Abounded Generally by 19th Street to the East, 28th Street to the West, Cecil B. Moore Avenue to the North and College Avenue to the South, for the Purposes of Low-Income Housing Project, Land, Improvements and Properties Within an Area Bounded Generally by 19th Street to the East, 28th Street to the West, Cecil B. Moore Avenue to the North, and College Avenue to the South, for the Purposes of Low-Income Housing Projects and a Mixed-Use Project filed at Docket Number 160502337 and filed a Declaration of Taking that included the property owned by Plaintiff Hal-Maz Partners, LP located at 2125 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

- 14. Collectively, the condemnations initiated by PHA were designated as the "Sharswood Condemnations."
- 15. The Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority acted as the agent of Philadelphia Housing Authority in connection with the condemnation and the purported payment of just compensation to owners of the condemned properties.
- 16. The City of Philadelphia passed Council Ordinances on June 18, 2015 (Council Ordinance Bill No. 150409) and on May 5, 2016 (Council Ordinance Bill No. 160221) authorizing the filing of the Declarations of Taking.
- 17. The Philadelphia Housing Authority passed Resolution No. 11780 on May 21, 2015, Resolution 11801 on July 16, 2015, Resolution No. 11839 on March 17, 2016 and Resolution No. 11849 on April 21, 2016 authorizing the filing of the Declarations of Taking.
- 18. According to the Council Ordinances and the PHA Resolutions, the land and improvements taken under the Declarations of Taking were acquired for the public uses and public purposes of development of a low-income housing project and a mixed-use project.

- 19. According to the Declarations of Taking and the condemnation deeds filed therewith, the nature of the title acquired by the PHA in the Plaintiffs' condemned properties was fee simple, including all easements, rights of way and real property interests of whatever nature.
- 20. On or about July 20, 2015, Plaintiffs NTC IRA, Snitow Profit Sharing, Twenty Something, and Lincoln IRA received a "Notice of Just Compensation" pertaining each of the properties they owned (2037 Ridge Avenue, 2039 Ridge Avenue, 2103-07 Ridge Avenue and 2113-2117 Ridge Avenue respectively).
- 21. On May 20, 2016, Plaintiff Hal-Maz received a Notice of Just Compensation for 2125 Ridge Avenue.
- 22. Per the procedure outlined in the Notices of Just Compensation and the Eminent Domain law, Plaintiffs accepted the incomplete compensation offered by PHA, with reservation of the right to seek review of PHA's valuation with the "Board of View."
- 23. As set forth in more detail below, PHA, the Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority and the City of Philadelphia have refused at every stage to pay Plaintiffs full just compensation for their properties, and continue to do so.

#### IV. <u>DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO PAY JUST COMPENSATION</u>.

- 24. On or about October 19, 2017, PHA made payment in the amount of \$35,000 to Hal-Maz as partial payment of just compensation for the taking of the property located at 2125 Ridge Avenue in accordance with Section 307 of the Eminent Doman Code, 26 Pa. C.S.A. §307.
- 25. On or About October 19, 217, PHA made payment in the amount of \$145,000 to NTC IRA as partial payment of just compensation for the taking of the property located at 2113-23 Ridge Avenue in accordance with Section 307 of the Eminent Domain Code, 26 Pa. C.S.A. §307.

5

- 26. On or About October 19, 2017, PHA made payment in the amount of \$22,000 to Lincoln Trust as partial payment of just compensation for the taking of the property located at 2103-07 Ridge Avenue in accordance with Section 307 of the Eminent Domain Code, 26 Pa. C.S.A. § 307.
- 27. On or About October 19, 2017, PHA made payment in the amount of \$39,000 to NTC IRA as partial payment of just compensation for the taking of the property located at 2037 Ridge Avenue in accordance with Section 307 of the Eminent Domain Code, 26 Pa. C.S.A. §307.
- 28. On or about October 19, 2017, PHA made payment in the amount of \$35,000 to Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something as partial payment of just compensation for the taking of the property located at 2039 Ridge Avenue in accordance with Section 307 of the Eminent Domain Code, 26 Pa. C.S.A. §307.
- 29. On or about September 17, 2018, upon the Petition of the various Plaintiffs, and over the Preliminary Objections of PHA, PRA, the City of Philadelphia, the Court of Common Pleas issued an Order appointing the members of the Board of View to preliminarily consider whether the compensation paid by PHA to Plaintiffs for the properties at 2113-23 Ridge Avenue, 2103-2107 Ridge Avenue, 2039 Ridge Avenue and 2037 Ridge Avenue was just compensation or whether additional compensation was due.
- 30. On February 22, 2019, after multiple continuances at the request of PHA, a Board of View Hearing was held concerning the damages due to Hal-Maz for the taking of the property at 2125 Ridge Avenue.

#### A. 2125 Ridge Avenue

- 31. At the Board of View hearing, defendants submitted an appraisal report and expert testimony that valued the property at \$38,000 (including a market value of \$65,000 with a cost of demolition for the existing building of \$35,000). At the Board of View hearing, Hal-Maz submitted testimony, an appraisal report and expert testimony valuing the property at \$380,000.
- 32. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that Hal-Maz was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately August of 2017 when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation was filed on May 16, 2016.
- 33. On February 26, 2019, the Board of View issued its report and First and Final Interlocutory Order holding that the damages incurred by Hal-Maz as a result of the taking included \$280,000 for the real estate and \$4,000 for attorney's fees. The Board of View also held that delay damages interest shall run on the full award of \$280,000 from May 19, 2016 until October 19, 2017 and shall run on \$245,000 (award less damages paid on account) from October 20, 2017 until date of payment. See Exhibit A, attached hereto, Board of View of Philadelphia County First and Final Interlocutory Report.
- 34. The Board of View filed its first and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on March 8, 2019.
- 35. To date, defendants still have not paid to Hal-Maz the just compensation due for taking the property at 2125 Ridge Avenue.
- 36. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that Hal-Maz was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately August of 2017 when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation was filed on May 16, 2016.

- 37. The Board of View filed its First and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on March 8, 2019.
- 38. On or about April 6, 2019, defendants filed an appeal *de novo* of the Board of View decision to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia.
- 39. To date, defendants still have not paid to Hal-Maz the just compensation due for taking the property at 2125 Ridge Avenue.

#### B. 2113-23 Ridge

- 40. On August 22, 2019, after multiple continuances at the request of PHA, a Board of View hearing was held concerning the damages due to NTC IRA for the taking of the property at 2113-23 Ridge Avenue.
- 41. At the Board of View hearing, defendants submitted an appraisal report and expert testimony that valued the property at \$145,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of 5,664 square feet and a rate of \$25.60 per square foot). At the Board of View hearing, NTC IRA submitted testimony, an appraisal report and expert testimony valuing the property at \$390,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of approximately 7,402 square foot and a rate of \$52.83 per square foot).
- 42. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that NTC IRA was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately October of 2017, when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation was filed on October 15, 2015. NTC IRA submitted briefing on the issue, to which PHA objected.
- 43. On August 27, 2019, the Board of View issued its report and First and Final Interlocutory Order holding that the damages incurred by NTC IRA as a result of the taking included \$330,000 for the real estate and \$4,000 for attorney's fees. The Board of View also held

that delay damages interest shall run on the full award of \$330,000 from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 and shall run on \$185,000 (award less damages paid on account) from October 20, 2017 until date of payment. See Exhibit B, attached hereto, Board of View of Philadelphia County First and Final Interlocutory Report.

- 44. The Board of View filed its First and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.
- 45. On or about October 4, 2019, defendants filed an appeal *de novo* of the Board of View decision to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia.
- 46. To date, defendants still have not paid to NTC IRA the just compensation due for taking the property at 2113-23 Ridge Avenue.

#### C. 2103-07 Ridge Avenue

- 47. On August 22, 2019, after multiple continuances at the request of PHA, a Board of View hearing was held concerning the damages due to Lincoln IRA for the taking of the property at 2103-07 Ridge Avenue.
- 48. At the Board of View hearing, defendants submitted an appraisal report and expert testimony that valued the property at \$27,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of 1,290 square feet and a rate of \$20.93 per square foot). At the Board of View hearing, Lincoln IRA submitted testimony, an appraisal report and expert testimony valuing the property at \$60,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of approximately 1,332 square feet and a rate of \$45.04 per square foot).
- 49. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that Lincoln IRA was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately October of 2017, when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation

was filed on October 15, 2015. Lincoln IRA submitted briefing on the issue, to which PHA objected.

- 50. On August 27, 2019, the Board of View issued its report and First and Final Interlocutory Order holding that the damages incurred by Lincoln IRA as a result of the taking included \$40,000 for the real estate and \$4,000 for attorney's fees. The Board of View also held that delay damages interest shall run on the full award of \$40,000 from October 15, 2015 unto November 1, 2017 and shall run on \$18,000 (award less damages paid on account) from November 2, 2017 until date of payment. See Exhibit C, attached hereto, Board of View of Philadelphia County First and Final Interlocutory Report.
- 51. The Board of View filed its First and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.
- 52. Defendants have not filed an appeal of the Board of View decision to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia, but still have not paid to Lincoln IRA the just compensation due for taking the property at 2103-2107 Ridge Avenue.

#### D. 2039 Ridge Avenue

- 53. On August 22, 2019, after multiple continuances at the request of PHA, a Board of View hearing was held concerning the damages due to Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something for the taking of the property at 2029 Ridge Avenue.
- 54. At the Board of View hearing, defendants submitted an appraisal report and expert testimony that valued the property at \$33,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of 1,226 square feet and a rate of \$26.91 per square foot). At the Board of View hearing, Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something submitted testimony, an appraisal report and expert

testimony valuing the property at \$55,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of approximately 1,344 square feet and a rate of \$40.92 per square foot).

- 55. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that Lincoln IRA was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately October of 2017, when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation was filed on October 15, 2015. Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something submitted briefing on the issue, to which PHA objected.
- 56. On August 27, 2019, the Board of View issued its report and First and Final Interlocutory Order holding that the damages incurred by Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something as a result of the taking included \$45,000 for the real estate and \$4,000 for attorney's fees. The Board of View also held that delay damages interest shall run on the full award of \$40,000 from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 and shall run on \$10,000 (award less damages paid on account) from October 20, 2017 until date of payment. See Exhibit D, attached hereto, Board of View of Philadelphia County First and Final Interlocutory Report.
- 57. The Board of View filed its First and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.
- 58. Defendants have not filed an appeal of the Board of View decision to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia, but still have not paid to Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something the just compensation due for taking the property at 2039 Ridge Avenue.

#### E. 2037 Ridge Avenue

59. On August 22, 2019, after multiple continuances at the request of PHA, a Board of View Haring was held concerning the damages due to NTC IRA and Snitow Profit Plan for the taking of the property at 2027 Ridge Avenue.

- 60. At the Board of View hearing, defendants submitted an appraisal report and expert testimony that valued the property at \$27,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of 1,460 square feet and a rate of \$26.71 per square foot). At the Board of View hearing, Snitow Profit Plan and Twenty Something submitted testimony, an appraisal report and expert testimony valuing the property at \$55,000 (based upon, among other things, a square footage dimension of approximately 1,384 square feet and a rate of \$39.74 per square foot).
- 61. At the Board of View hearing, defendants argued that NTC IRA was not entitled to any delay damages prior to approximately October of 2017, when PHA allegedly "took possession" of the property, even though the Declaration of Taking and the Deed of Condemnation was filed on October 15, 2015. NTC IRA submitted briefing on the issue, to which PHA objected.
- 62. On August 27, 2019, the Board of View issued its report and First and Final Interlocutory Order holding that the damages incurred by Lincoln IRA as a result of the taking included \$45,000 for the real estate and \$4,000 for attorney's fees. The Board of View also held that delay damages interest shall run on the full award of \$45,000 from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 and shall run on \$6,000 (award less damages paid on account) from October 20, 2017 until date of payment. See Exhibit E, attached hereto, Board of View of Philadelphia County First and Final Interlocutory Report.
- 63. The Board of View filed its First and Final Interlocutory Report with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.
- 64. Defendants have not filed an appeal of the Board of View decision to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia, but still have not paid to NTC IRA the just compensation due for taking the property at 2037 Ridge Avenue.

#### V. <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

# COUNT I PLAINTIFFS VS. DEFENDANTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 65. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 66. The Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution provides in part: "[N]or shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."
- 67. The Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment prohibits the federal government from taking private property for public use without providing just compensation.
- 68. The Takings Clause applies to state action through the Fourteenth Amendment. *See AM. Express Travel Related Servs.*, *Inc. v. Sidamon-Eristoff*, 669 F.3d 359, 370 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 2012).
- 69. Thus, Defendants, as state actors, have a Constitutional duty to pay just compensation to any citizen for its private property taken by eminent domain for a governmental purpose.
- 70. Despite this well-defined duty, defendants have intentionally violated Plaintiffs' Fifth Amendment Constitutional rights to just compensation for their property.
- 71. Fair compensation for the taking of Plaintiffs' properties would require payment of hundreds of thousands of dollars in excess of the amounts tendered by Defendants, as Plaintiffs have repeatedly demonstrated before the Board of View.
- 72. Defendants' intentional deprivation of Plaintiffs' Constitutional rights has included repeated and intentional delays in providing even the initial partial compensation promised in the Notices of Just Compensation provided to Plaintiffs in July of 2015 and May of 2016. It was not until October and November of 2017 (over two years from the date of the taking) that Plaintiffs

received even those limited amounts. When those payments were made, Defendants did not pay any interest or delay damages for the use of the property from October of 2015 to the date of the payments in October and November of 2017.

- 73. Additional evidence of Defendants' intentional deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights includes Defendants' delay in paying the compensation determined by the Board of View, even though Defendants filed no appeal to the Court of Common Pleas as to the properties at 2103-2017 Ridge Avenue, 2039 Ridge Avenue and 2037 Ridge Avenue.
- 74. While Defendants have appealed the Board of View decisions relating to 2125 Ridge Avenue and 2113-23 Ridge Avenue to the Court of Common Pleas, Plaintiffs are entitled to pursue relief from the federal court separately and independently for Defendants' constitutional violations.
- 75. As a direct and proximate consequence in fact of the acts of Defendants, their employees and agents, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer loss of their property and are entitled to damages.

#### VI. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>

76. Plaintiffs demand a jury determination of all issues so triable.

#### VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand judgment against defendants individual, jointly and severally and asks the Court to:

- a) award them damages in the form of the full amount of just compensation for the loss of their properties, to each in proportion of its ownership interest(s);
  - b) award plaintiffs reasonable attorney fees and costs, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
  - c) award plaintiffs such interest and costs as are allowed by law;

#### 

d) provide such other relief as the Court finds just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted.

GERALD J. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE

PA #36418

SAMUEL ABLOESER, ESQUIRE

PA #31602/

WILLIAMS CEDAR, LLC

1515 Market Street, Suite 1300

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1929

Telephone:

215.557.0099

Facsimile:

215.557.0673

Email: gwilliams@williamscedar.com

sabloeser@qilliamscedar.com

Dated: 10/22/19

# **EXHIBIT A**

# BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY and Attested by the CURTIS CENTER Office Productive Records 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST 08 WAR DIE IN STREET AM PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### BV # 3543

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

MAY TERM 2016, NO. 2337 2125 RIDGE AVENUE

#### THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Date: FEBRUARY 26, 2019

ANDREW A. CHIRLS, ESQ. (Redevelopment Authority) FINEMAN KREKSTEIN HARRIS 1801 MARKET STREET, STE-1100 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

> RE: 1<sup>st</sup> & FINAL INTERLOCUTORY REPORT BOARD OF VIEW

Dear Mr. Chirls:

Please be advised that the undersigned Board of View will file their report in the above proceeding, a copy of which is enclosed, in the Office of the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on March 08, 2019.

If there are any errors in this report, notify us before the above date and they will be corrected in accordance with Section 513 of ACT No. 34 of 2006.

Unless objections to said report or appeals there from are filed within thirty (30) days from the date the report is filed, said report shall become final in accordance with provisions of the "Eminent Domain Code".

Very truly yours,

James J. O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mal, Al-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC

cc: Julie Negovan, Esq. Howard N. Snitow, Esq.

#### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

CURTIS CENTER
601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### BV #3543

In accordance with the provisions of the Eminent Domain Code, The Board of View made a view of the premises involved in this proceeding. From the evidence submitted to it, the testimony heard and a view of the premises affected by this condemnation, the Board of View does find the following:

#### FACTS

The plaintiff in this matter is Hal-Maz Partners LLC who was the owner of the property located at 2125 Ridge Avenue that was condemned by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of the City of Philadelphia on May 19, 2016. Hal-Maz Partners LLC was represented by Ms. Julie Negovan, Esq. who retained Mr. Louis A. latarola, MAI as their appraiser. Mr. Andrew A. Chirls, Esq. from the Redevelopment Authority represented the Philadelphia Housing Authority and retained Mr. Phillip Fortuna, MAI, SRA as their appraiser.

#### CONCLUSIONS

OWNER: Hal-Maz Partners LLC PREMISES: 2125 Ridge Avenue REAL ESTATE: \$ 280,000. ATTORNEY FEE: \$ 4,000. TOTAL AWARD: \$ 284,000.

Interest shall run on the full award of \$280,000 from May 19, 2016 until October 19, 2017 (date of payment).

Then Interest shall run on \$245,000 (award less paid on account) from October 20, 2017 until date of payment.

For all elements of damage under the Eminent Domain Code of 2006, as amended said damages are assessed against the Philadelphia Housing Authority. All awards are subject to a credit for any sum paid on account of damages by the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

#### **BOARD OF VIEW**

James O'Connell, Esq.
Ronald C. Panepinto
Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, RW-AC



#### **BOARD OF VIEW AWARD RECORD**

BOARD OF VIEW NUMBER:	DATE OF TAKING:
3543	5/19/16
PROJECT:	
THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CIT IN REM	Y OF PHILADELPHIA-EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING
PLOT NUMBER:	
ADDRESS(es):	
2125 RIDGE AVENUE	
CLAIMANT (S):	
HAL-MAZ PARTNERS LLC	
AWARD:	
\$280,000 + \$4,000 ATTORNEY FEES	
BOARD MEMBERS:	
James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	
7 7 0'm	
James O'Connell, Esq.	DATE: 3/8/19
Ronald C. Panepinto	
Ronald C. Panepinto	FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY:
alem H. lynn	
Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, Al-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	

# EXHIBIT B

### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY and

CURTIS CENTER Office 26 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST 05 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106



**BV #3549** 

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

OCTOBER TERM 2015, NO. 1913 2113-23 RIDGE AVENUE

### THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA Date: August 27, 2019

PAUL N. SANDLER, ESQ. (Redevelopment Authority) SANDLER & MARCHESINI, P.C. 1500 WALNUT STREET, STE-2020 PHILADELPHIA. PA 19102

> RE: 1<sup>st</sup> & FINAL INTERLOCUTORY REPORT BOARD OF VIEW

Dear Mr. Sandler:

Please be advised that the undersigned Board of View will file their report in the above proceeding, a copy of which is enclosed, in the Office of the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.

If there are any errors in this report, notify us before the above date and they will be corrected in accordance with Section 513 of ACT No. 34 of 2006.

Unless objections to said report or appeals there from are filed within thirty (30) days from the date the report is filed, said report shall become final in accordance with provisions of the "Eminent Domain Code".

Very truly yours,

James J. O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mai, Al-GRS, MRICS, RW-AC

cc: Julie Negovan, Esq. Howard N. Snitow, Esq.

#### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

CURTIS CENTER 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### **BV # 3549**

In accordance with the provisions of the Eminent Domain Code, The Board of View made a view of the premises involved in this proceeding. From the evidence submitted to it, the testimony heard and a view of the premises affected by this condemnation, the Board of View does find the following:

#### **FACTS**

The plaintiff in this matter is Snitow & Snitow and NTC & Co. LLP owner Howard N. Snitow who was the owner of the property located at 2113-23 Ridge Avenue that was condemned by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of the City of Philadelphia on October 20, 2015. Mr. Howard Snitow was represented by Ms. Julie Negovan, Esq. who retained Mr. Louis A. latarola, MAI, SRA as their appraiser. Mr. Paul N. Sandler, Esq. from the Redevelopment Authority represented the Philadelphia Housing Authority and retained Mr. Joseph G. Vizza, MAI as their appraiser.

#### CONCLUSIONS

OWNER:

Snitow & Snitow and NTC & Co. LLP

PREMISES:

2113-23 Ridge Avenue

REAL ESTATE:

\$ 330,000.

ATTORNEY FEE: TOTAL AWARD:

\$ 4,000. \$ 334,000.

Interest shall run from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 on the full award of \$330,000.

Then interest shall run from October 20, 2017 until date of payment on \$185,000. (award less paid on account).

For all elements of damage under the Eminent Domain Code of 2006, as amended said damages are assessed against the Philadelphia Housing Authority. All awards are subject to a credit for any sum paid on account of damages by the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

#### **BOARD OF VIEW**

James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC



### CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

### **BOARD OF VIEW AWARD RECORD**

BOARD OF VIEW NUMBER:	DATE OF TAKING:
3549	10/15/15
PROJECT:	1
THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE O	CITY OF PHILADELPHIA-EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING
PLOT NUMBER:	
ADDRESS(es):	
2113-23 RIDGE AVENUE	
CLAIMANT (S):	
SNITOW & SNITOW AND NTC & CO. LLP - HOWARD N	I. SNITOW, ESQ.
AWARD:	
\$330,000 + \$4,000 ATTORNEY FEES	
BOARD MEMBERS:	
James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, Al-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	
James J. D'Cornell	DATE: 9/6/19
nes O'Connell, Esq.	DATE:
onald C. Panapinto	
leen H. Lynn	FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY:
leen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	

# **EXHIBIT C**

# BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY and CURTIS CENTER Office DE 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 FAST 05

601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106



**BV # 3550** 

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

OCTOBER TERM 2015, NO. 1913 2103-07 RIDGE AVENUE

### THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Date: August 27, 2019

PAUL N. SANDLER, ESQ. (Redevelopment Authority) SANDLER & MARCHESINI, P.C. 1500 WALNUT STREET, STE-2020 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

> RE: 1st & FINAL INTERLOCUTORY REPORT BOARD OF VIEW

Dear Mr. Sandler:

Please be advised that the undersigned Board of View will file their report in the above proceeding, a copy of which is enclosed, in the Office of the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.

If there are any errors in this report, notify us before the above date and they will be corrected in accordance with Section 513 of ACT No. 34 of 2006.

Unless objections to said report or appeals there from are filed within thirty (30) days from the date the report is filed, said report shall become final in accordance with provisions of the "Eminent Domain Code".

Very truly yours,

James J. O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC

cc: Julie Negovan, Esq. Howard N. Snitow, Esq.

#### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

CURTIS CENTER
601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### **BV #3550**

In accordance with the provisions of the Eminent Domain Code, The Board of View made a view of the premises involved in this proceeding. From the evidence submitted to it, the testimony heard and a view of the premises affected by this condemnation, the Board of View does find the following:

#### FACTS

The plaintiff in this matter is Lincoln Trust Co. owner Howard N. Snitow who was the owner of the property located at 2103-07 Ridge Avenue that was condemned by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of the City of Philadelphia on October 20, 2015. Mr. Howard Snitow was represented by Ms. Julie Negovan, Esq. who retained Mr. Louis A. latarola, MAI, SRA as their appraiser. Mr. Paul N. Sandler, Esq. from the Redevelopment Authority represented the Philadelphia Housing Authority and retained Mr. Joseph G. Vizza, MAI as their appraiser.

#### CONCLUSIONS

OWNER: Lincoln Trust Company PREMISES: 2103-07 Ridge Avenue

REAL ESTATE: \$ 40,000.
ATTORNEY FEE: \$ 4,000.
TOTAL AWARD: \$ 44,000.

Interest shall run from October 15, 2015 until November 1, 2017 on the full award of \$40,000.

Then interest shall run from November 2, 2017 until date of payment on \$18,000. (award less paid on account).

For all elements of damage under the Eminent Domain Code of 2006, as amended said damages are assessed against the Philadelphia Housing Authority. Philadelphia Housing Authority.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

#### **BOARD OF VIEW**

James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mai, Ai-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC



### CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

### **BOARD OF VIEW AWARD RECORD**

BOARD OF VIEW NUMBER:	DATE OF TAXABLE
3550	DATE OF TAKING:
PROJECT:	10/15/15
THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY IN REM	Y OF PHILADELPHIA-EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING
PLOT NUMBER:	
ADDRESS(es):	
2103-07 RIDGE AVENUE	
CLAIMANT (S):	
LINCOLN TRUST COMPANY - HOWARD N. SNITOW, ESQ	l.
AWARD:	
\$40,000 + \$4,000 ATTORNEY FEES	
BOARD MEMBERS:	
James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	
Famof, O'Course	9/6/19
mes O'Conneil, Esq.	DATE: 9/6/19
onald C. Panepinto	
elem H. Lynn	FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY:
loom W I MAY IV GDG AMBRED	

# **EXHIBIT D**

#### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY and Alested by the

CURTIS CENTER OFF 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106



BV # 3551

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

OCTOBER TERM 2015, NO. 1913

2039 RIDGE AVENUE

### THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Date: August 27, 2019

PAUL N. SANDLER, ESQ. (Redevelopment Authority) SANDLER & MARCHESINI, P.C. 1500 WALNUT STREET, STE-2020 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

> RE: 1st & FINAL INTERLOCUTORY REPORT BOARD OF VIEW

Dear Mr. Sandler:

Please be advised that the undersigned Board of View will file their report in the above proceeding, a copy of which is enclosed, in the Office of the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.

If there are any errors in this report, notify us before the above date and they will be corrected in accordance with Section 513 of ACT No. 34 of 2006.

Unless objections to said report or appeals there from are filed within thirty (30) days from the date the report is filed, said report shall become final in accordance with provisions of the "Eminent Domain Code".

Very truly yours,

James J. O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mai, Al-GRS, MRICS, RW-AC

cc: Julie Negovan, Esq. Howard N. Snitow, Esq.

#### BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

CURTIS CENTER 601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### BV # 3551

In accordance with the provisions of the Eminent Domain Code, The Board of View made a view of the premises involved in this proceeding. From the evidence submitted to it, the testimony heard and a view of the premises affected by this condemnation, the Board of View does find the following:

#### **FACTS**

The plaintiff in this matter is Snitow & Snitow and Twenty Something Sansom LLC owner Howard N. Snitow who was the owner of the property located at 2039 Ridge Avenue that was condemned by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of the City of Philadelphia on October 20, 2015. Mr. Howard Snitow was represented by Ms. Julie Negovan, Esq. who retained Mr. Louis A. latarola, MAI, SRA as their appraiser. Mr. Paul N. Sandler, Esq. from the Redevelopment Authority represented the Philadelphia Housing Authority and retained Mr. Joseph G. Vizza, MAI as their appraiser.

#### CONCLUSIONS

OWNER:

Snitow & Snitow

PREMISES:

Twenty Something Sansom LLC

REAL ESTATE:

2039 Ridge Avenue

ATTORNEY FEE: TOTAL AWARD:

45,000. 4,000. 49,000.

Interest shall run from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 on the full award of \$45,000.

Then interest shall run from October 20, 2017 until date of payment on \$10,000 (award less paid on account).

For all elements of damage under the Eminent Domain Code of 2006, as amended said damages are assessed against the Philadelphia Housing Authority. All awards are subject to a credit for any sum paid on account of damages by the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

**BOARD OF VIEW** 

James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC



### CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

### **BOARD OF VIEW AWARD RECORD**

BOARD OF VIEW NUMBER:	DATE OF TAKING:
3551	10/15/15
PROJECT:	
THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CIT IN REM	Y OF PHILADELPHIA-EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING
PLOT NUMBER:	
ADDRESS(es):	
2039 RIDGE AVENUE	
CLAIMANT (S):	
SNITOW & SNITOW AND TWENTY SOMETHING SANSO	M LLC - HOWARD N. SNITOW, ESQ.
AWARD:	
\$45,000 + \$4,000 ATTORNEY FEES	
BOARD MEMBERS:	
James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	
Famos J. O'Cornell	DATE: 9/6/19
James O'Cønnell, Esq.	
Ronald C. Panapinto  Ronald C. Panapinto  Cellin H. Lynn	
Ronald C. Panepinto	FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY:
Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, ÁI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	

# EXHIBIT E

## BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY and A CURTIS CENTER Office of the

601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106



BV # 3552

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

OCTOBER TERM 2015, NO. 1913 2037 RIDGE AVENUE

### THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Date: August 27, 2019

PAUL N. SANDLER, ESQ. (Redevelopment Authority) SANDLER & MARCHESINI, P.C. 1500 WALNUT STREET, STE-2020 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

> RE: 1st & FINAL INTERLOCUTORY REPORT BOARD OF VIEW

Dear Mr. Sandler:

Please be advised that the undersigned Board of View will file their report in the above proceeding, a copy of which is enclosed, in the Office of the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Philadelphia on September 6, 2019.

If there are any errors in this report, notify us before the above date and they will be corrected in accordance with Section 513 of ACT No. 34 of 2006.

Unless objections to said report or appeals there from are filed within thirty (30) days from the date the report is filed, said report shall become final in accordance with provisions of the "Eminent Domain Code".

Very truly yours,

James J. O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mai, Al-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC

cc: Julie Negovan, Esq. Howard N. Snitow, Esq.

#### **BOARD OF VIEW OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY**

CURTIS CENTER
601 WALNUT STREET~SUITE 325 EAST
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

#### **BV # 3552**

In accordance with the provisions of the Eminent Domain Code, The Board of View made a view of the premises involved in this proceeding. From the evidence submitted to it, the testimony heard and a view of the premises affected by this condemnation, the Board of View does find the following:

#### **FACTS**

The plaintiff in this matter is NTC & Co. LLP owner Howard N. Snitow who was the owner of the property located at 2037 Ridge Avenue that was condemned by the Philadelphia Housing Authority of the City of Philadelphia on October 20, 2015. Mr. Howard Snitow was represented by Ms. Julie Negovan, Esq. who retained Mr. Louis A. latarola, MAI, SRA as their appraiser. Mr. Paul N. Sandler, Esq. from the Redevelopment Authority represented the Philadelphia Housing Authority and retained Mr. Joseph G. Vizza, MAI as their appraiser.

#### CONCLUSIONS

OWNER:

NTC & Co. LLP 2037 Ridge Avenue

PREMISES: REAL ESTATE:

\$ 45,000.

ATTORNEY FEE:

\$ 4,000.

TOTAL AWARD:

\$ 49,000.

Interest shall run from October 15, 2015 until October 19, 2017 on the full award of \$45,000.

Then interest shall run from October 20, 2017 until date of payment on \$6,000 (award less paid on account).

For all elements of damage under the Eminent Domain Code of 2006, as amended said damages are assessed against the Philadelphia Housing Authority. All awards are subject to a credit for any sum paid on account of damages by the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

#### **BOARD OF VIEW**

James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto Eileen H. Lynn, Mai, Al-GRS, MRICS, RAW-AC



### CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

### **BOARD OF VIEW AWARD RECORD**

BOARD OF VIEW NUMBER:	DATE OF TAKING:
3552	10/15/15
PROJECT:	•
THE PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE IN REM	E CITY OF PHILADELPHIA-EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDII
PLOT NUMBER:	
ADDRESS(es):	
2037 RIDGE AVENUE	
CLAIMANT (S):	
NTC & CO. LLP - HOWARD N. SNITOW, ESQ.	
AWARD:	
\$45,000 + \$4,000 ATTORNEY FEES	
BOARD MEMBERS:	
James O'Connell, Esq. Ronald C. Panepinto	
Eileen H. Lynn, MAI, AI-GRS, MRICS, R/W-AC	<u></u>
James J. D'Cornell	DATE: 9/6/19
mes O'Connell, Esq.	
Mald C. Panepinto	FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY